# Power-to-X - From vision to industrial implementation

Prof. Dr. Roland Dittmeyer, KIT

13.05.2022

#### Process4 Sustainability

Cluster for climate-neutral process industries in Hesse

Supported by:







# **Power-to-X - From vision to industrial implementation**

### R. Dittmeyer

4th International Workshop on Innovation and Production Management in the Process Industries (IPM 2022), May 12-13, 2022

#### Institute for Micro Process Engineering



KIT – The Research University of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu



### Agenda

- The global climate crisis
- General overview of Power-to-X
- Challenges
- Current status in R&D and steps towards implementation

The Guardian, 21 Sep 2019, The best climate strike signs from around the globe in pictures. A sign held by a protester in London depicts global heating. Photograph: Will Oliver/EPA



## Every ton of net CO<sub>2</sub> emissions has an impact on temperature

### Temperature changes from 1850–1900 versus cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions since 1st January 1876





Solid lines with dots reproduce the globally averaged near-surface air temperature response to cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions plus non-CO<sub>2</sub> forcers as assessed in Figure SPM10 of WGI AR5, except that points marked with years relate to a particular year, unlike in WGI AR5 Figure SPM.10, where each point relates to the mean over the previous decade. The AR5 data was derived from 15 Earth system models and 5 Earth system models of Intermediate Complexity for the historic observations (black) and RCP8.5 scenario (red), and the red shaded plume shows the range across the models as presented in the AR5. The purple shaded plume and the line are indicative of the temperature response to cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and non-CO<sub>2</sub> warming adopted in this report. The non-CO<sub>2</sub> warming contribution is averaged from the MAGICC and FAIR models, and the purple shaded range assumes the AR5 WGI TCRE distribution (Supplementary Material 2.SM.1.1.2). The 2010 observation of surface temperature change (0.97°C based on 2006–2015 mean compared to 1850–1900, Chapter 1, Section 1.2.1) and cumulative carbon dioxide emissions from 1876 to the end of 2010 of 1,930 GtCO<sub>2</sub> (Le Quéré et al., 2018) is shown as a filled purple diamond. The value for 2017 based on the latest cumulative carbon emissions up to the end of 2017 of 2,220 GtCO<sub>2</sub> (Version 1.3 accessed 22 May 2018) and a surface temperature anomaly of 1.1°C based on an assumed temperature increase of 0.2°C per decade is shown as a hollow purple diamond. The thin blue line shows annual observations, with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from Le Quéré et al. (2018) and estimated globally averaged near-surface temperature from scaling the incomplete coverage and blended HadCRUT4 dataset in Chapter 1. The thin black line shows the CMIP5 multimodel mean estimate with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions also from (Le Quéré et al., 2018). The thin black line shows the GMST historic temperature trends from Chapter 1, which give lower temperature changes up to 2006–2015 of 0.87°C and would lead to a larger remaining carbon budget. The dotted black lines illustrate the remaining carbon budget estimates for 1.5°C given in Table 2.2. Note these remaining budgets exclude possible Earth system feedbacks that could reduce the budget, such as CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> release from permafrost thawing and tropical wetlands (see Section 2.2.2.2).

Source: IPCC Special Report Global Warming of 1.5°C, 8. October 2018, Chapter 2 - Mitigation Pathways Compatible with 1.5°C in the Context of Sustainable Development, Figure 2.3.



# Global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2021 jumped back to pre-covid levels

### **Global CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emission trends**

Temporal evolution of historical CO<sub>2</sub> emissions [5] (navy; including emissions from fossil fuel combustion and the process of cement production), near-realtime CO<sub>2</sub> emissions [1,3] (red), projected CO<sub>2</sub> emission mitigation pathways [10] (dark blue and aqua), and historical fossil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions [4] (light blue; 1970–2018 data from EDGARv6.0, scaled to 2021 with IEA data). Solid/dashed lines and shading represent the median and range, respectively. The inset depicts daily nearreal-time CO<sub>2</sub> data over 2019 to 2021, and the corresponding year-on-year changes in annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Current emission trends will use up the allowed future emissions for limiting anthropogenic warming to 1.5 °C (the remaining carbon budgets) within 10 years.



Source: Z. Liu et al., Monitoring global carbon emissions in 2021, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 2022, 3, 217-219, doi: 10.1038/ s43017-022-00285-w



Institute for Micro Process Engineering (IMVT)



2050



### **German GHG reduction targets**

Germany: round about 2.1 % of global emissions from 1.1 % of global population





# Why converting green power into chemical energy carriers?

- 1. For rapid and deep defossilisation mainly of the transport and industry sectors
  - as an alternative to a continued use of fossil energy carriers combined with DACCS or BECCS
- 2. For storage of large amounts of green energy for power generation when needed
  - operational flexibility
  - compensation of a lack of renewable power generation at times and in places maximisation of the energy gain from intermittent renewables  $\bigcirc$

  - holding available a reserve
- 3. For transport of large amounts of green energy over long distances
  - import of green energy carriers
  - limit need for grid expansion
- 4. For optimisation of the overall energy system
  - economics
  - GHG footprint 0

DACCS: Direct Air Capture and Carbon Storage (CO<sub>2</sub>-Capture from the atmosphere with permanent storage) BECCS: Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (CO<sub>2</sub>-Capture by biomass and energetic use of that biomass while capturing the produced CO<sub>2</sub> from the effluent for purification and permanent storage)



### **General scheme of "Power-to-X"**



#### **Conversion Processes (indicative)**





# Challenges

### High cost

- Operation (cost of power and eventually CO<sub>2</sub>, conversion losses)
- Capital investment (complex processes, limited number of full load hours)

### Large volumes

- High power demand (renewable!)
- International dimension (complex project structures)
- High capital requirement and high financial risk (political stability)
- Market introduction needs suitable regulatory boundary conditions and incentives for enabling successful business cases
  - Pricing of fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - Quota for admixing of PtX products, betterment in taxation, guaranteed prices for a given volume over a certain time, eventually increasing gradually with market ramp-up, etc.









# Which products and where?

### Fuels

- 0 over time ("existing fleet"); Main routes are:

  - Methanol synthesis followed by conversion into fuels via methanol to gasoline, kerosene, diesel
- technologies)

### **Chemical energy carriers**

ethanol, hydrocarbons, higher alcohols, olefins, etc.)

### Large dedicated plants in sweet spots versus agile and flexible modular PtX solutions for decentralised production

- ecological environment, perspectives for economic development and value creation, etc.



Primarily drop-in qualities of kerosene, diesel and gasoline in order to make continued use of existing infrastructures (distribution, storage, utilisation) and to reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions quickly by admixing increasing amounts of PtL fuels

- Fischer Tropsch (FT) synthesis followed by refinery processes such as hydrocracking, isomerisation, hydrogenation

• As a perspective, methane, methanol or dimethyl ether (eventually also oxymethylene ether), ammonia (for combustion), or hydrogen (for FCEV) as alternatives to diesel for heavy duty transport (requires new infrastructures and power train

• Basic products which can serve as starting materials for chemical valorisation chains (hydrogen, synthesis gas, methanol,

Sweet spots: What should be imported? Renewable electrical energy, hydrogen, methanol / FT crude, finished e-fuels? Other questions: Cost and availability of renewable electrical energy, water, and CO<sub>2</sub>, options for integration with existing infrastructures (industrial plants as point CO<sub>2</sub> sources, energy grids, pipelines, harbours, refineries), economic, social and

# Synthetic fuels via low temperature Fischer-Tropsch (FT) Synthesis

 $nCO + 2nH_2 \rightarrow -(CH_2)_n - +nH_2O \qquad \Delta H_R = -158 \, kJ \, / \, mol_{CO}$ **Overall FTS reaction:** 

FTS chain growth mechanism:



$$\alpha = f(catalyst, T, p, etc.)$$



### Hydroprocessing (bifunctional catalysts):



J. Weitkamp, *ChemCatChem* 4, **2012**, 292-306





### **Microstructured reactors - key technology for gas conversion in PtX**

### Phase 1: Lab studies



see also: Myrstad et al., Catal. Today 2009, 147, 301-304.

13.5.2022 R. Dittmeyer 11



### **Productivity and Space-Time-Yield**

|                                     |                     | Productivity<br>(C <sub>5+</sub> per catalyst<br>mass) | Productivity<br>(C <sub>5+</sub> per reactor<br>mass) | Space-Time-Y<br>(C <sub>5+</sub> per reac<br>volume) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|
| r plate with<br>nal heating<br>dges | KIT (IMVT)          | 2.1 g/gh   | 16.7 bpd/t  | 1785 kg/m³   |
|                                     | velocys             | -  | 13 bpd/t <sup>1</sup>                                 | 1600 kg/m <sup>3</sup>                               |
| or thermo-<br>les                   | Oryx GTL -<br>Sasol | -  | 8 bpd/t 2   | 20.6 kg/m³ł  |
| ng plates                           | Literatur           | <b>1.4 - 2 g/gh</b> <sup>3</sup>                       | -   | -  |

#### 2 Catalyst plate



- <sup>1)</sup> S. LeViness, FT Product Manager, Presentation "Velocys Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Technology – Comparison to Conventional FT Technologies", AIChE Spring Meeting, San Antonio, Texas/USA (30-Apr-2013)
- <sup>2)</sup> "2012 Interim Results", Presentation to analysts of the Oxford Catalysts Group 2012, www.velocys.com
- <sup>3)</sup> C.H. Bartholomew, B. Young, History of Cobalt Catalyst Design for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis, NGCS, Doha 2013











# **Microstructured reactors - key technology for gas conversion in PtX**

### Phase 2: Validation and Scale-up



- (20-40 bar)







#### **Process development**





# **Design principle of the evaporation-cooled microreactor**

### **Basic stacking scheme**



P. Pfeifer, P. Piermartini, A. Wenka, 2017, DE 10 2015 111 614 A1

13.5.2022 R. Dittmeyer 13

2 stacked reaction sheets (packed bed)

cooling sheet

2 stacked coolant distribution sheets

cooling sheet

2 stacked reaction sheets

termination sheet

Arrangement of reactant and coolant flows in the stack





# Studies on transient operation of the bench-scale FTS unit

### Lab setup



Dissertation Marcel Löwert, KIT, 2021



M. Löwert, P. Pfeifer, *ChemEngineering* **2020**, *4*, 21; doi:10.3390/chemengineering4020021



#### **RTD** in non-reactive mode - F curves







257





ratio of 2 (Case 2, valid for experiments D and E)





olid line) and measured average reactor temperature (black solid line) as function of tim

ding to the linear regression model, experiment D without temperature man usted temperature for maintaining constant conversion already resulted in more than 60% CO conversion at any time during the experiment experiment E, 70% of CO conversion should be reached at any time. It was possible to na the latter flowsafeved temperature profile and experted exceed the required temperature levels in some c temperature was too loweoply darying the farst interpolation the expectate nt. Starting at a low flow the available reaction heat is limited. so less heat is available to increase the ĕ. Nevertheles Total volume flow ratures and thu leveloped linear re 25 · <sup>12</sup> sthe conversion in 🛓 e range obtaine 🗧 emperature cor ₩ <sup>8</sup>even more uniform ahis highlights the 'D, see Figure d reactors with 1 je of inherently product devia CH₄ 200 250 300 350 150 TOS \ min · 12 📯 Conversion 70% 20 ---flow 8 volume S Engineering (IMVT) F##88 000 a 00000000 60000





# **Process integration for increased efficiency and reduced cost**

### **Copernicus project P2X - Integrated plant for fuel synthesis from carbon dioxide from thin air**

High efficiency through process integration; compact design of the synthesis unit enabled by micro process engineering; modular plant concept scalable over a wide range of capacity







DB Energie GmbH, International Association for Systainable Aviation IASA e.V.

Institute for Micro Process Engineering (IMVT)



FUELS WATER





# **Copernicus project P2X - Scope of Phase II (2019-2022)**

- Development of an optimized MW-qualified DAC unit for coupling with co-electrolysis SOEC and FT synthesis  $\bigcirc$
- Development and manufacturing of a 250 kWel co-SOEC system for coupling with DAC and FT synthesis 0
- Reactor design optimisation for FT synthesis
- Modular technologies for FT product upgrading 0
- Integration of the DAC and co-SOEC systems into the Energy Lab 2.0
- Process synthesis and analysis 0
- Proposal for further scale-up to 1-2 MW for Phase III





pdf download, 18 MB









# **Copernicus project P2X - Scope of Phase II (2019-2022)**

### Fischer-Tropsch product upgrading: Kerosene, Diesel, Gasoline

### Oil (FT-HC)



- Contains alcohols  $\bigcirc$
- High alkene content (up to 1/3)  $\bigcirc$
- ow *iso-*alkane content  $\bigcirc$
- Wide carbon number range 0

- No more alcohols
- *n* and *iso*-alkenes  $\bigcirc$
- iso-alkanes still low  $\bigcirc$
- Wide carbon number range





- **no** alcohols 0
- **no** alkenes  $\bigcirc$
- high *i*-alkane content (ca. 38 %) 0
- Carbon number range 0 still too wide

### $\rightarrow$ **Distillation**







# **Copernicus project P2X - Scope of Phase II (2019-2022)**

### **Kerosene Fraction (165-205°C)**



- Falls in the desired kerosene cut (maximum at  $C_{10}$ ) 0
- ca. 40% iso alkanes; cyclo alkanes represent a  $\bigcirc$ contamination from distillation
- T90 T10 outside allowed range (17,3 < 22 °C)0
- Acid number too high (0,017 > 0,015 mgKOH/g)0



| Specification                          | Method           | Value   | Target value (ASTM D7566) | Unit              |
|--|------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Water content                          | ASTM D6304-16e1  | 27      | ≤75                       | mg/kg             |
| Nitrogen                               | ASTM D4629-17    | 0,3     | -                         | mg/kg             |
| Lubricity                              | ASTM D5001-10    | 0,57    | ≤0,85                     | mm                |
| Electrical conductivity                | ASTM D2624-15    | 30      | -                         | pS/m              |
| Microseparometer                       | ASTM D3948-14    | 96      | ≥85                       | Rating            |
| Existent gum                           | ASTM D 381-12    | 1       | ≤7                        | mg/100ml          |
| Thermal Stability 325 °C               | ASTM D 3241-18   | 0       | ≤25                       | mmHg              |
| Corrosion - Copper strip (2h at 100°C) | ASTM D130-18     | 1b      | 1                         | Rating            |
| Smoke Point                            | ASTM D1322-19    | 25,6    | ≥25                       | mm                |
| Net heat of combustion                 | ASTM D3338-09e2  | 44,22   | ≥42,8                     | MJ/kg             |
| Viscosity (-20 °C)                     | ASTM D7042-16e3  | 2,88    | ≤8                        | mm²/s             |
| Freezing point                         | ASTM D2386-18    | -48     | -40                       | °C                |
| Flash point                            | ASTM D3828-16a-B | 54,5    | 38                        | °C                |
|  | ASTM 5453-19a    |         |                           |                   |
| Sulfur content                         | ASTM 2622-16     | <1,0    | 15                        | mg/kg             |
| Mercaptanschwefel                      | ASTM D3227-16    | <0,0003 | 0,003                     | ma%               |
| Density (15 °C)                        | ASTM D4052-18a   | 741,4   | 730 - 770                 | kg/m <sup>3</sup> |
| Distillation                           | -                | -       | -                         | -                 |
| 10 % recovered                         | ASTM D86-18      | 172,1   | 205                       | °C                |
| 50 % recovered                         | ASTM D86-18      | 178,3   | report                    | °C                |
| 90 % recovered                         | ASTM D86-18      | 189,4   | report                    | °C                |
| Final boiling point                    | ASTM D86-18      | 202,8   | 300                       | °C                |
| T90-T10                                | ASTM D86-18      | 17,3    | ≥22                       | °C                |
| Distillation residue                   | ASTM D86-18      | 1       | ≤1,5                      | vol%              |
| Distillation loss                      | ASTM D86-18      | 0,6     | ≤1,5                      | vol%              |
| Acidity, total                         | ASTM D3242-11    | 0,017   | ≤0,015                    | mgKOH/g           |

→ Adjust hydrotreating: catalyst(s), process conditions

→ **Optimize distillation:** temperature window





## Current Status at INERATEC - 1 MW PtL Plants (Werlte, Hamburg)



Inauguration at the EWE site in Werlte on October, 2021







### First commercial plant for hydrogen based aviation fuel

- Site: Industry park Herøya, 150 km southwest of Oslo
- Partners: Sunfire GmbH, Dresden, Climeworks AG, Zürich, Paul Würth SA, Luxemburg, Valinor AS, Stabanker
- Process: DAC, Co-SOEC and FT-Synthesis; Upgrading in the Refinery; Utilisation of FT waste heat for Co-SOEC increases amount of FT-Crude per kWh of electrical energy by 30%
- **Capacity:** initially 10 Mio. L/a (8.000 t/a); at this stage 20-30% of CO<sub>2</sub> from DAC; later extension planned to 80.000 t/a; then all CO<sub>2</sub> from DAC
- **Electricity:** Green Hydropower
- Investment: upper two-digit million € range
- **Projected price:** initially well below  $2 \in L$ , later 1.00 1.20  $\in L$
- Timeline for Beginning of construction, Commissioning, **Extension:** 2021, 2023, 2026

Source: Business Portal Norway, 09.06.2020, https://bit.ly/2ZTI3tJ; future:fuels, 14.09.2020, https://bit.ly/3ml89IP





## First commercial plant for hydrogen based aviation fuel

- Site: Mosjøen in Northern Norway
- Partners: Sunfire GmbH, Dresden, Climeworks AG, Zürich, Paul Wurth SA, Luxemburg, Valinor AS, Stabanker
- Process: DAC, AEL/rWGS (process line 1) and Co-SOEC (process line 2), FT-Synthesis, Refining; Utilisation of FT waste heat for Co-SOEC or RWGS, respectively
- Capacity: 12.5 Mio. L/a (10.000 t/a) from process line 1 by 2024; another 12.5 Mio. L/a from process line 2 by 2026; extension to 100 Mio. L/a (80.000 t/a) by 2029; start of construction of in 2023



Source: https://www.norsk-e-fuel.com









Institute for Micro Process Engineering (IMVT)

Renewable Fuel



# First commercial plant for hydrogen based aviation fuel



Source: Nordic Electrofuel (https://nordicelectrofuel.no/#whatwedo, access on 12.05.2022)

13.5.2022 R. Dittmeyer 24











• Focus on high TRL technologies: CO<sub>2</sub> point source rather than DAC, proprietary rWGS rather than co-SOEC

• Started a few years earlier than norsk e-fuel as **Nordic Blue Crude** 



• **Site:** Industry park Herøya, Porsgrunn, 150 km southwest of Oslo









#### υποιαι ρισμού σται τ was in December 2020



Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie



#### https://www.haruoni.com/#/en

#### https://bit.ly/2TdzYid





## Plant layout and timeline for scaling up







- Construction is underway
- Start-up of the pilot plant scheduled for summer 2022

https://bit.ly/2TdzYid



### Production of e-Fuels with a versatile intermediate enables high flexibility



Source: K. Dums, Greener Skies Ahead Regional, 24.11.2021

13.5.2022 R. Dittmeyer 27



PORSCHE





## More announcements...

#### Heidenheim-Mergelstetten: 50.000 t/a in 2028

Concept for PtL plant for Stuttgart Airport



#### Böhlen-Lippendorf: 50.000 t/a in 2026

22.04.2022

#### Weltweit erste industrielle Anlage der EDL zur Erzeugung von PtL-Kerosin

Die EDL Anlagenbau Gesellschaft aus Leipzig arbeitet intensiv an der Umsetzung einer technischen Lösung zur Dekarbonisierung des Luftverkehrssektors. Im Industriegebiet Böhlen-Lippendorf soll die weltweit erste Anlage zur industriellen Herstellung von grünem Kerosin entstehen. Die HyKero-Anlage soll ab 2026 50.000 t PtL-Kerosin jährlich erzeugen.

Das Projekt, das eine Investition von ca. 700 Mio. Euro in die Region bringt, ist in seinen Dimensionen gewaltig und bietet nicht nur der Luftfahrtbranche positive Effekte. Denn der Region steht durch den Kohleausstieg ein signifikanter Strukturwandel bevor. Das Projekt schafft 100 neue Arbeitsplätze und sichert bestehende Jobs. Das Vorhaben leistet somit einen wichtigen Beitrag in der Transformation der Kohleregion "Mitteldeutsches Revier".

Fliegen mit herkömmlichen Kerosin hat einen großen Einfluss auf unser Klima. Der Anteil des Flugverkehrs an der globalen Erderwärmung beträgt schon heute mehr als fünf Prozent. Die Luftfahrt muss jetzt reagieren und den fossilen Treibstoff durch alternative Treibstoffe aus erneuerbarem Strom ersetzen. Auch der Gesetzgeber macht dazu entsprechende Vorgaben. So soll der Anteil an e-Kerosin (strombasiertes Kerosin) ab 2026 0,5 Prozent am Gesamt-Treibstoffverbrauch betragen.





#### Frankfurt Höchst: 3.500 t/a in 2023



28.04.2022 Hessisches Ministerium für Wirtschaft, Energie, Verkehr und Wohnen

#### Pressemitteilung Luftverkehr

#### Pilotanlage für synthetisches Kerosin in Planung

Noch in diesem Jahr soll mit dem Bau der weltweit größten Pilotanlage für synthetisches Kerosin im Industriepark Frankfurt-Höchst begonnen werden. Dies teilte Wirtschaftsund Verkehrsminister Tarek Al-Wazir am Donnerstag in Wiesbaden mit.

# Many thanks to...

- the colleagues at IMVT for extensive efforts in the different projects 0
- the KIC InnoEnergy for funding of the European project SYNCON 0
- the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for a scholarship (Chenghao Sun) 0
- the Peter and Luise Hager Foundation for funding of two doctoral projects (Tobias Jäger, Hannah Kirsch)  $\bigcirc$
- the Vector Foundation for funding of the DYNSYN, CO<sub>2</sub>mpactDME, and ELSA projects (Marcel Loewert, Giulia Baracchini,  $\bigcirc$ Soudeh Banivaheb, Seyedehfatemeh Hosseini)
- the Helmholtz Association and the German Ministries for Education and Research (BMBF) as well as Economics and Energy 0 (BMWi) and the Ministry for Science, Research and the Arts Baden Württemberg for funding of the Energy Lab 2.0 large-scale research infrastructure project
- the German Ministry for Economics and Energy (BMWi) for funding of the start-up INERATEC through the national eXist  $\bigcirc$ programme as well as for funding of the PowerFuel project
- the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) for funding of the Copernicus project P2X and the project H<sub>2</sub>Mare  $\bigcirc$
- the Ministry for Science, Research and the Arts Baden Württemberg for funding of the reFuels project  $\bigcirc$
- the Helmholtz Association for the funding of the Helmholtz Initiative Climate Adaptation and Mitigation 0
- you for your kind attention!







Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie

R. Dittmeyer













